

Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati

LNF-66/21

U. Bizzarri, M. Conte, I. F. Quercia and A. Turrin: THE EXTERNAL
ELECTRON BEAM OF THE FRASCATI ELECTRON SYNCHROTRON.

Nuovo Cimento 42, 639 (1966)

The External Electron Beam of the Frascati Electron Synchrotron (*).

U. BIZZARRI, M. CONTE (**), I. F. QUERCIA and A. TURRIN
Laboratori Nazionali del CNEN - Frascati (Roma)

(ricevuto il 10 Novembre 1965)

Summary. — The beam extraction from the Frascati electron synchrotron has been accomplished by exciting the $\nu_r = \frac{2}{3}$ nonlinear betatron resonance. The second harmonic pattern of the « sextupolar » perturbation in the guiding field which is required to bring the radial oscillations into resonance is introduced by pulsing currents into few wires of the pole face windings of the four quadrants, connected in a loop. In this way the beam is brought into a two-magnet with septum deflecting channel and escapes completely from the magnetic field. The extraction channel is described; the preliminary characteristics of the external beam, the results of measurements on the extraction efficiency and the spill-out times obtained are presented.

1. — Theoretical introduction.

In order to acquire slow extraction of the beam from cyclic accelerators with high efficiency, low emittance and small momentum spread, the radial betatron oscillations must be brought into resonance (¹⁻⁶). Long spill-out

(*) Presented at the *V International Conference on High-Energy Accelerators*, Frascati, September 9-16, 1965.

(**) Now at Rutherford High Energy Laboratory, Chilton, Berks.

(¹) H. G. HERWARD: *Proc. of the Int. Conf. on High-Energy Accelerators, Dubna, 1963* (Moscow, 1964), p. 690.

(²) F. W. BRASSE, G. E. FISHER, M. FOTINO and K. W. ROBINSON: *Proc. of the Int. Conf. on High-Energy Accelerators, Dubna, 1963* (Moscow, 1964), p. 718.

(³) U. BIZZARRI and A. TURRIN: *Nuovo Cimento*, **37**, 751 (1965).

(⁴) J. W. BENOIT, K. B. CONNER, J. KIRCHGESSNER and F. C. SHOEMAKER: *Proc. of the First National Particle Accelerator Conf., Washington, 1965*; *IEEE Trans. on Nuclear Science* NS-12, (1965), p. 926.

(⁵) J. KIRCHGESSNER, J. W. BENOIT and F. C. SHOEMAKER: presented at the *V Int. Conf. on High-Energy Accelerators, Frascati, September 1965*.

(⁶) M. Q. BARTON: presented at the *V Int. Conf. on High-Energy Accelerators, Frascati, September 1965*.

times, on the other hand, are achieved by means of nonlinear perturbations.

In the following discussion we will limit ourselves only to constant gradient synchrotrons. As it has been shown by one of us (A.T.) ⁽⁷⁾, the most convenient resonance to get the above-mentioned important features is the $\nu_r = \frac{2}{3}$ one (consider also ref. ^(3,5)). The corresponding $\Delta n(x, \theta)$ perturbation to be introduced must have the following form:

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta n = \left(\frac{dn}{dx}\right) x \sin 2\theta, \quad \left(\frac{dn}{dx}\right) = \text{constant}, \quad \left| \left(\frac{dn}{dx}\right) x \right| \ll \langle n \rangle.$$

If the synchrotron is a circular one, the resulting equation of the radial particle motion

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{d^2x}{d\theta^2} + (1 - \langle n \rangle) x = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dn}{dx}\right) x^2 \sin 2\theta$$

$$\langle n \rangle = n_{\text{res}} + \delta, \quad n_{\text{res}} = \frac{5}{9},$$

$$|\delta| \ll \left| \left(\frac{dn}{dx}\right) x \right|,$$

can be integrated by the method of Krylov and Bogoliubov. The first approximation solution is

$$(1.3) \quad x = a(\theta) \sin \left[\frac{2}{3} \theta + \Phi(\theta) \right] \quad (a(\theta) \geq 0),$$

where a and Φ are related by the following equation (*):

$$(1.4) \quad \cos 3\Phi = \left[\cos 3\Phi_0 - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{a_0}\right) \right] \left[\frac{(a_0/\alpha)}{(a/\alpha)} \right]^3 + \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{a}\right), \quad \alpha = \left| \frac{8\delta}{(dn/dx)} \right|,$$

⁽⁷⁾ A. TURRIN: *Nuovo Cimento*, **8**, 511 (1958).

(*) a and Φ can be found by solving the system

$$(i) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{da}{d\theta} = \frac{3}{32} \left(\frac{dn}{dx}\right) a^2 \sin 3\Phi \\ \frac{d\Phi}{d\theta} = \frac{3}{32} \left(\frac{dn}{dx}\right) a \cos 3\Phi - \frac{3}{4} \delta, \end{cases}$$

which furnishes the following simple differential relationship:

$$(ii) \quad \frac{d\Phi}{da} = \frac{a \cos 3\Phi - \alpha}{a^2 \sin 3\Phi}, \quad \alpha = \left| \frac{8\delta}{(dn/dx)} \right|.$$

Equation (ii) can be expressed by

$$(iii) \quad \frac{dy}{da} + 3 \frac{y}{a} - 3 \frac{\alpha}{a^2} = 0 \quad (y = \cos 3\Phi)$$

and its general integral is just the eq. (1.4) of the text.

with a_0/α and Φ_0 initial values for the scaled amplitude a/α and the phase Φ .

The last term in eq. (1.4) obviously represents the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions when $a \rightarrow \infty$.

In Fig. 1 a family of curves derived from (1.4) shows $\cos 3\Phi$ as function of a/α , corresponding to various initial values of a/α and $\cos 3\Phi$.




Fig. 1. - Curves corresponding to various initial values a_0/α and $\cos 3\Phi_0$ are represented in the $\cos 3\Phi$ vs. a/α plot. The separatrix curve (1.5) corresponds to the boundary between limited orbits and resonant orbits regions. Thick curve, stability limit separatrix; dashed curve, asymptotic solution.

Each curve in Fig. 1 is to be imagined travelled by the representative point in a direction which depends from the initial values a_0/α and Φ_0 . The curves on the left of the boundary curve

$$(1.5) \quad \cos 3\Phi = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{a}\right)^3 + \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{a}\right)$$

correspond to permanently limited orbits, whose amplitudes fluctuate between limits given by the intersections with the two lines $\cos 3\Phi = \pm 1$.

Curves on the right of the (1.5) curve exhibit the asymptotic behaviour. For an infinite amplitude the asymptotic value of Φ is $\pi/6$ (refer to Fig. 2).

Orbit enlargement occurs anywhen $a/\alpha > 1$ or, in other words,

$$(1.6) \quad \left| a \left(\frac{dn}{dx} \right) \right| > |8\delta| \quad (\text{refer also to ref. (7)}).$$

Following the above considerations the electron beam has been extracted from the Frascati electron synchrotron in the following way:




Fig. 2. - Plot of Φ vs. α/α . The asymptotic value of Φ is shown to be $\pi/6$ for an infinite amplitude. Dashed curve, asymptotic limit.

At the end of the acceleration cycle, the magnetic perturbation is introduced, but all the particle oscillations remain limited since their motions are described by the amplitude-limited curves. Growth of oscillations occurs only when the r.f. peak voltage is made to decrease slowly and electrons are allowed to spiralize smoothly inward.

Because of the existing variation in the $\langle n \rangle$ value along the radial width of the gap, $\langle n \rangle$ approaches gradually to n_{res} when the radius of the orbits is made to decrease.

In this way, as soon as condition (1.6) is satisfied, the electron motion becomes controlled by the resonant action of the field, and every particle rushes towards the mouth of the extraction channel in the course of a few revolutions.

2. - Extraction of the beam from the Frascati synchrotron.

The perturbation (1.1) is accomplished by injecting currents at the end of the acceleration cycle into pairs of wires belonging to the pole face windings, connected in an antiinductive loop. Current flows of opposite direction are fed into pairs of wires symmetrically placed with respect to the central orbit, as

is shown in Fig. 3. The required azimuthal second harmonic variation of the perturbation is obtained by reversing the direction of the current flows into the wires in each next quadrant of the synchrotron magnet.

If the distances between the wires and the center of the gap are sufficiently large, the desired « sextupolar » perturbation of the field is easily obtained. The shape of the $\Delta B_z(x)$ obtained in this way is represented in the upper part of Fig. 3.

When the perturbing term $(4/\pi)\Delta B_z(x) \sin 2\theta$ is introduced, the corresponding equation of motion is:

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{d^2x}{d\theta^2} + (1 - \langle n \rangle)x = \frac{R}{B_0} \frac{4}{\pi} \Delta B_z(x) \sin 2\theta.$$

The second harmonic variation of $\Delta B_z(x=0)$ does not cause any resonant effect (it produces only a slight distortion of the closed orbit). It is the parabolic shape of $\Delta B_z(x)$ that is responsible of the resonance.





Fig. 3. - Cross-section of the Frascati synchrotron's gap. The chosen pairs of wires of the pole face windings are represented. The corresponding $\Delta B_z(x)$, shown in the upper part of the figure, is measured in arbitrary units.

Fig. 4. - Two-targets device for detecting the resonant behaviour of radial oscillations. On the left there is the 5 mm width target, which simulates the mouth of the extraction channel. On the right there is the 1 mm width target, which is nearer to the central orbit and represents the septum thickness. These targets are displaced by 6 cm azimuthally.



Their position-controlling system is also shown on the right side.

A simple device has been used to test whether the particles, under the action of the above-described perturbation, are able to jump over a few-millimeter radial obstacle.

The device (see Fig. 4) consists of two targets, with radial width 1 mm and 5 mm, placed at different radial and azimuthal positions. With this detecting system, when the electrons spiralyze inward in absence of the field index perturbation, the γ -ray beam comes out only from the first target (1 mm width), nearer to the central orbit. If at the same time the magnetic perturbation is applied, a γ -ray beam (see




Fig. 5. — Film exposure at about 3 m from the two targets, while the perturbation is applied. The left spot represents the γ -ray beam (extracted electrons) coming from the 5 mm target. The right one represents the γ -ray beam from the 1 mm target. In this case the extraction efficiency is about 20%.

Fig. 5) comes out from the second target too, due obviously to the electrons that jump the first one. The two γ -ray intensities may give a rough estimation of the extraction efficiency.

In our case, the current required to excite the resonance at 1 GeV is about 30 A in each wire, corresponding to a value $\Delta B_z(x=0) \simeq 30$ G. Fig. 6 shows




Fig. 6. — Top trace: spill-out shape observed by a scintillator placed near the synchrotron. Bottom trace: current pulse into the correcting coils. Sweep speed: 1 ms/cm.




Fig. 7. — Sketch of the extraction system (arbitrary scale).




Fig. 8. - «Soft» magnet and its position-controlling system (front view).

the current pulse and the spill-out shape observed by a scintillation counter.




Fig. 9. - «Hard» magnet (front view).

particles slightly towards the center of the machine. The second magnet («hard» magnet) is placed in the next straight section, and deflects the electrons outwards, forcing the beam to emerge at the end of the following quadrant (see Fig. 7).

The «soft» magnet and its control system are shown in Fig. 8. The main characteristics of this magnet are: length 30 cm, gap height 11 mm, gap width

The extraction system consists of two pulsed septum magnets. The first magnet is placed in a straight section of the synchrotron, and deflects the




Fig. 10. - Top trace: spill-out shape from a scintillator placed near the electron stopper of the external beam. Bottom trace: shape of the currents injected into both magnets. Sweep speed: 1 ms/cm.

20 mm, septum thickness 0.5 mm. The field and the current required for 1 GeV extraction are about 550 G and 550 A. The fringing field of the «soft» magnet is less than 10% of the internal field, at 1 mm outside from the septum.

The «hard» magnet is shown in Fig. 9. It is similar to the «soft» one, except for the septum thickness, which is 3.5 mm to allow for the water cooling. The field and the current required are 3500 G and 3500 A. The fringing field is less than 3% at 1 mm outside from the septum. In Fig. 10 the shape of current pulses in the two magnets is shown. Fig. 11 shows the scheme of the pulser.




Fig. 11. - Current pulser.

In order to calculate the electron orbits inside the synchrotron's fringing field, the radial dependence of the vertical magnetic field has been measured (the linear shape of the field ends at $x \simeq 7$ cm). A simple analytical expression of $B_z(x)$, fitting the measured values of the field in the fringing region, has been introduced into the radial motion equation:

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{d^2x}{d\theta^2} + b(x)x = R(1 - b(x)), \quad \text{where } b(x) = \frac{B_z(x)}{B_0};$$

this equation has been numerically integrated by the Runge-Kutta method.

Two orbits calculated in this way are represented in Fig. 12. They have zero initial slope and their initial abscissae are -4.5 cm and -5.5 cm. The fringing field has a radial defocusing effect onto the beam. In fact, if the initial radial displacement between two orbits is for instance 1 cm, at the azimuth $\theta = 90^\circ$ it becomes 6.5 cm.

In order to compensate the fringing field defocusing effect, we have introduced a 3 % per cm gradient into the field of the extracting « hard » magnet. In this way a factor 3 reduction of the horizontal beam size has been achieved.




Fig. 12. - Fringing field effect on two orbits with zero initial slope and initial radial displacement = 1 cm.

Moreover these results gave useful information for the design of the vacuum chamber and of the beam transport system.

3. - Characteristics of the external beam.

The external beam intensity has been measured by a Wilson quantameter, and corresponds to about 10^9 electrons per pulse, that means that the extraction efficiency is about 50 %.

In order to measure the extracted beam emittance, the « minimum spot size » method has been used. The results are about

$$\text{vertical emittance} = 0.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ cm} \cdot \text{rad} ,$$

$$\text{horizontal emittance} = 2 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ cm} \cdot \text{rad} .$$

The main contributions to these values are first, the crossing of 1.5 m length air and second, the variation of the magnet's currents during the long spill-out time.

The momentum spread $\Delta p/p$ of the external beam has been evaluated by means of a comparison method: the radial width of an undeflected beam has been compared with the radial width of a beam deflected by a zero-gradient magnet.

The result for 600 MeV and 100 μ s extraction is

$$\Delta p/p = (1.5 \div 2.0) \cdot 10^{-3} .$$

Therefore the external beam has practically the same momentum spread of the circulating beam, due to the phase spread of the synchrotron oscillations.

The maximum spill-out time obtained is about 4 ms which corresponds to a duty cycle of $8 \cdot 10^{-2}$.

* * *

The authors are particularly grateful and indebted to Mr. I. CESARINI, Mr. M. SPINA, and Mr. V. VENTURINI for their indispensable technical assistance and to Technology Group of Frascati for their assistance in vacuum problems.

RIASSUNTO

L'estrazione del fascio dall'elettrosincrotrone di Frascati è stata ottenuta eccitando la risonanza nonlineare di betatrone $\nu_r = \frac{2}{3}$. L'andamento di seconda armonica della perturbazione « sestupolare » nel campo guida, che è richiesto per portare in risonanza le oscillazioni radiali, è ottenuto inviando impulsi di corrente in alcuni fili, connessi in serie, dei tappeti polari di correzione dei quattro quadranti. In questo modo il fascio è portato in un canale di estrazione costituito da due magneti con setto, e viene quindi sottratto completamente all'influenza del campo magnetico. Si descrive il canale di estrazione, vengono presentate le caratteristiche preliminari del fascio esterno, i risultati delle misure sull'efficienza di estrazione e i tempi di estrazione ottenuti.